REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are all the claims pending in the application. No claim is amended, canceled or added.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Takeuchi et al. (JP 2001-216965; "Takeuchi"). Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al. (JP 2001-216965; "Takeuchi").

Claims 1-3, 5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamahira et al. (JP 2001-266,876; "Yamahira"). Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamahira et al. (JP 2001-266,876; "Yamahira").

Claims 1, 2 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either Takeuchi et al. (JP 2002-222,648; "Takeuchi '648"), Watanabe et al. (JP 10-321,224; "Watanabe"), or Nakano et al. (JP 2003-17,055; "Nakano"). Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Takeuchi et al. (JP 2002-222,648; "Takeuchi '648"), Watanabe et al. (JP 10-321,224; "Watanabe"), or Nakano et al. (JP 2003-17,055; "Nakano").

Applicants respectfully traverse the above rejections.

Specifically, independent claim 1 recites a nonaqueous electrolyte secondary battery comprises a positive electrode including particles of lithium-containing layered nickel oxide represented by a general formula $\text{Li}_a \, \text{Ni}_x \, \text{Co}_y \, \text{Al}_z \, \text{M}_b \, \text{O}_2$, wherein $0.3 \le a \le 1.05$, $0.7 \le x \le 0.87$,

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/574,932

 $0.1 \le y \le 0.27$, $0.03 \le z \le 0.1$, $0 \le b \le 0.1$; M is at least one selected from metallic elements except Ni,

Co and Al. Independent claim 1 further recites that in binding energy of oxygen 1s spectrum

when measuring said particles by XPS, if a peak area appearing at 529eV is set to D; a peak area

appearing at 531eV is set to E; oxygen concentration ratio is set to D/(D+E); and oxygen

concentration ratios at depths of L1 nm and L2 nm from the particle surface are respectively set

to $\alpha_{L\,1}$ and $\alpha_{L\,2}$, a combination of L1 and L2 in which $(\alpha_{L\,2}$ - $\alpha_{L\,1})/\alpha_{L\,2} \le 0.1$, L1 ≤ 100 , L2 ≥ 500 is

present.

The Office asserts that the cited references, Takeuchi, Yamahira, Takeuchi '648,

Watanabe and Nakano, all disclose a battery with a non-aqueous electrolyte and a cathode active

material that meets the general formula $\text{Li}_a \text{Ni}_x \text{Co}_y \text{Al}_z \text{M}_b \text{O}_2$, wherein $0.3 \le a \le 1.05$,

0.7 < x < 0.87, 0.1 < y < 0.27, 0.03 < z < 0.1, 0 < b < 0.1; M is at least one selected from metallic elements

except Ni, Co and Al, as recited in claim 1.

The Office acknowledges that none of the cited reference expressly discloses the oxygen

binding energy or concentration ratios, as recited in claim 1. However, it appears that it is the

Office's position that the composition of the active material according to the present invention is

the same as the active materials disclosed in the cited references. The Office then concludes that

any properties, such as oxygen binding energy or concentration ratios as recited in claim 1,

would have been inherently disclosed by all of the cited references. Applicants respectfully

disagree.

Applicants submit that, contrary to the Office's assertion, the active materials of the cited

references do not inherently possess the property of in binding energy of oxygen 1s spectrum

when measuring said particles by XPS, if a peak area appearing at 529eV is set to D; a peak area

appearing at 531eV is set to E; oxygen concentration ratio is set to D/(D+E); and oxygen

3

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/574,932

concentration ratios at depths of L1 nm and L2 nm from the particle surface are respectively set

to α_{L1} and α_{L2} a combination of L1 and L2 in which $(\alpha_{L2} - \alpha_{L1})/\alpha_{L2} \le 0.1$, L1 \le 100, L2 \ge 500 is

present, as recited in claim 1.

Specifically, Applicants submit an Appendix I to show that active materials having the

same composition can be converted to active materials having different characteristics (active

material Y and active material Z of the Appendix I) by a treatment subsequent to the process of

calcination and synthesis ("post treatment").

As shown in Appendix I, calcination is conducted under given conditions to synthesize an

active material X having a specified composition (active material X as described in the attached

Appendix I). Thereafter, the active material X is subjected to a post treatment under specified

conditions. As described in the Example of Appendix I, a post treatment under specified post

treatment conditions ("post treatment condition A" described in the Appendix I) can produce the

active material having a desirable surface state according to the present invention (active

material Y described in the Appendix I).

In contrast, as shown in the Comparative Example of Appendix I, when a post treatment

is conducted, for example, in atmosphere ("post treatment condition B" described in the

Appendix I), an active material having an undesirable surface state (active material Z described

in the attached Appendix I) is produced.

It was clarified by the present inventors for the first time that active materials X, Y and Z

are present and have the relationship as shown in Appendix I. The active material Y is

distinguished from active material Z. Applicants respectfully submit that active materials having

the same composition may not necessarily possess the same characteristics.

4

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/574,932

Contrary to the Office's assertion, the active materials of the cited references, Takeuchi, Yamahira, Takeuchi '648, Watanabe and Nakano, do not necessarily possess the property of in binding energy of oxygen 1s spectrum when measuring said particles by XPS, if a peak area appearing at 529eV is set to D; a peak area appearing at 531eV is set to E; oxygen concentration ratio is set to D/(D+E); and oxygen concentration ratios at depths of L1 nm and L2 nm from the particle surface are respectively set to $\alpha_{L,1}$ and α_{L2} , a combination of L1 and L2 in which ($\alpha_{L,2}$ - $\alpha_{L,1}$)/ $\alpha_{L,2}$ <0.1, L1<100, L2>500 is present, as recited in claim 1.

The fact that a certain result or characteristic <u>may</u> occur or be present in the prior art is not sufficient to establish the inherency of that result or characteristic. *In re Rijckaert*, 9 F.3d 1531, 1534, 28 USPQ2d 1955, 1957 (Fed. Cir. 1993). "In relying upon the theory of inherency, the examiner must provide a basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the allegedly inherent characteristic <u>necessarily</u> flows from the teachings of the applied prior art." *Ex parte Levy*, 17 USPQ2d 1461, 1464 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990).

Applicants respectfully submit that the Office fails to establish that the materials of the cited references do necessarily possess the recited characteristics of the claims of the instant application and thus the rejections are not sustainable.

Claims 2-10 depend, directly or indirectly, from independent claim 1. As such, claims 2-10 are patentable over the cited references at least for the same reasons as claim 1.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present claims are patentable over Takeuchi, Yamahira, Takeuchi '648, Watanabe and Nakano, either alone or in combination, and withdrawal of the foregoing rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and §103 is requested.

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q94334

Application No.: 10/574,932

best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sunhee Lee/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

65565
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Sunhee Lee

Registration No. 53,892

/Yan Lan/

Yan Lan

Registration No. 50,214

Date: September 17, 2008

